

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/714,641	11/18/2003	Tsukasa Sako	00862.023309	2530	
5514 75	590 05/05/2005		EXAMINER		
	K CELLA HARPER &	CHUNG, DANIEL J			
30 ROCKEFEL NEW YORK, 1		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
11211 20144,			2672		
			DATE MAIL ED. 05/05/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					1.4			
		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/714,6	41	SAKO, TSUKASA				
	Office Action Summary	Examine	г	Art Unit				
		Daniel J (Chung	2672				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appears on th	e cover sheet with the	correspondence address	;			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD IMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty or period for reply is specified above, the maximum or the toreply within the set or extended period for repreply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. Is of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no extend in the state of the stat	vent, however, may a reply be to tutory minimum of thirty (30) do vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron plication to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely, m the mailing date of this communities JED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on .						
2a)□	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is r	non-final.	•				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/s Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restr	are withdrawn from co		·				
Applicat	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.			,			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e: a)□ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the	e Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any obj		•	, ,				
11)[Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected	-	=	•	` '			
Prioritν ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internations of the attached detailed Office activations.	y documents have been y documents have been sof the priority documents have been and the priority documents on all Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applica ents have been receiv le 17.2(a)).	ntion No ved in this National Stag	e			
Attachmen	, ,							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I					
3) 因 Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date <u>5-13-2004</u> .			Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2672

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

Receipt is acknowledged of Applicant's Information Disclosure Statement of 5-13-2004, which has been placed in the application file and considered by the Examiner.

Drawings

The drawings are not objected to by the Examiner.

Specification

Please review the application and correct all informalities.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-8 and 11-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Caravel. (5,613,057)

Art Unit: 2672

Regarding claim 1, Caravel discloses that the claimed feature of an image display method for displaying images of a prescribed combination in image layout formats of a plurality of types, comprising: a frequency storage [i.e. "memory means"; 6] step of storing frequency of use with respect to a prescribed format from among the image layout formats [i.e. "templates"] of the plurality of types; a setting step [i.e. "processing means"; 4] of setting the image layout format, which is used in displaying the prescribed combination of images, based upon the frequency of use that has be en stored [i.e. 'the most frequently used template is located middle of list', 'the least frequently used template is located side of list']; and a display [i.e. "display"; 8] step of displaying the prescribed combination of images on a display in the image layout format ["template"] that has been set. (See Fig 12, col 2 line 39-48, col 7 line 1-4, col 7 line 12-19, col 11 line 49-53)

Regarding claim 2, Caravel discloses that frequency storage [6] step stores the frequency of use of the prescribed image layout format ["template"] is association with an observer ["user"]. (See col 7 line 12-19)

Regarding claim 3, Caravel discloses that setting step sets, for every observer, an image layout format having the highest frequency of use as an image layout format ["the most frequently used template"] used to display the prescribed combination of images. (See col 7 line 1-4, col 11 line 49-53)

Art Unit: 2672

Regarding claim 4, Caravel discloses that frequency storage step counts the frequency of use of an image layout format at a timing at which the prescribed combination of images is displayed. (See col 7 line 12-19, as "the counting step" is necessarily required for figuring out which template is most or least frequently used)

Regarding claim 5, Caravel discloses that frequency storage step counts the frequency of use of an image layout format after a change at a timing at which the image layout format is changed. (See col 7 line 12-19)

Regarding claim 6, Caravel discloses that frequency storage step counts the frequency of use of an image layout, which is being displayed, at a timing at which said display steps ends. (See col 7 line 12-19)

Regarding claim 7, Caravel discloses that frequency storage step counts the frequency of use of an image layout, which is currently being displayed, at a timing at which the observer performs an operation for counting the frequency of use of the image layout format. (See col 7 line 12-19)

Regarding claim 8, Caravel discloses that frequency storage step stores collectively the frequencies of use of the image layouts, which have been displayed for

Art Unit: 2672

a plurality of observers, without distinguishing among the observers ["user"]. (See col 7 line 1-4, col 11 line 49-53)

Regarding claim 11, Caravel discloses that when number of ranks ["ordering"] of frequencies of use capable of being stored has been set in advance and images have been displayed in a new image layout format, said frequency storage step excludes the image layout format having the lowest frequency of use and adds on the frequency of use of the new image layout format. (See col 2 line 44-48, col 7 line 12-23)

Regarding claim 12, Caravel discloses that the number of ranks of the frequency of use is one. (See col 7 line 12-23)

Regarding claim 13, Caravel discloses that a display of a layout format for notifying [i.e. "keyword", "sound"] of the image layout format that has currently been set is presented at said display step. (See col 10 lien 17-59)

Regarding claim 14, Caravel discloses that an image layout change step of changing the layout format of the images currently being displayed on the display. (See Fig 13, col 8 line 37-40)

Regarding claim 15, Caravel discloses that a priority change step of changing a priority corresponding to the frequency of use; wherein if the priority has been changed,

Art Unit: 2672

the display of layout format is changed in linked fashion at said display step in response to an operation for changing the priority. (See Fig 13, col 8 line 37-40)

Regarding claims 16-18, claims 16-18 is similar in scope to the claim 1, and thus the rejection to claim 1 hereinabove is also applicable to claims 16-18.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Caravel in view of Mishra et al. (5,805,118)

Regarding claim 9, Caravel does not specifically discloses that the images are medical images and the prescribed combination is a combination of images of the same type of examination. However, Mishra et al discloses that customized image navigation and display system (CINAD) with medical images for medical field [i.e. radiology]. (See col 3 line 58-col 4 line 7, col 6 line 14-19, col 7 line 38-47) Since both teachings are relate to the manipulating of image layout [i.e. template, workspace], it would have been

Art Unit: 2672

obvious to one skilled in the art to incorporate the teaching of Mishra et al into the teaching of Caravel, in order to display the medical images with improved manner of facility and rapidity at user preferences.

Regarding claim 10, refer to the discussion for the claim 9 hereinabove, Caravel discloses that the images are medical images, the prescribed combination is a combination of images of a plurality of different types of examination, and said setting step sets an image layout format based upon the highest frequency of use from among frequencies of use of image layout formats of images of each type of examination. (See Fig 13, col 8 line 37-40)

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel J. Chung whose telephone number is (571) 272-7657. He can normally be reached Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays from 7:30am- 5:00pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael, Razavi, can be reached at (571) 272-7664.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Art Unit: 2672

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306 (Central fax)

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

djc April 27, 2005

> MICHAEL RAZAVI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINES

Page 8

TECH MADRIY CENTER 20